

Transportation Practices of People Living with HIV in Rural Areas

Alec A. Theisz¹, Megan Furness¹, Juan F. Maestre, MS¹, Vaishnav Kameswaran, MS²,
Tawanna R. Dillahunt, PhD², Tiffany Veinot, PhD², Patrick C. Shih, PhD¹

¹Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA; ²University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Abstract

Transportation has evolved throughout the past several years through developments in HCI and sociotechnical systems. However, there has been a lack of studies examining transportation in rural areas for vulnerable populations. Our study focuses on the transportation facilitators and barriers faced by people living with HIV in rural areas. We were informed through 31 surveys and 18 interviews with people living with HIV in rural areas and their case coordinators. We highlight the importance of utilizing a patchwork of transportation methods and having social networks to support transportation needs. Emerging, popular forms of urban transportation do not translate well due to differences in trust, infrastructure, rural culture, and stigma.

Introduction

HCI research has significantly contributed to advances in transportation access and availability. However, there has been a lack of studies focusing on transportation in rural contexts rather than urban areas. Rural areas differ in that they often have limited access to public transportation, taxis, and real-time ridesharing services^{1,2}. People living in rural areas rely more on personal vehicles^{3,4}, and effects of poverty are amplified in rural areas because of longer travel distances and less transportation access^{5,6}. Thus, when designing sociotechnical systems for rural areas, it is important to consider what barriers and facilitators rural residents face, as well as which lessons learned from studies in urban areas are applicable to rural areas. Addressing people living with HIV in rural areas, transportation to health-enhancing resources such as employment, food, and healthcare⁷ are important because lack of access to transportation is negatively correlated with HIV treatment adherence⁸⁻¹⁰. Given previous work with underserved populations¹¹⁻¹⁷, it is important to understand the role of community and healthcare stakeholders in transportation access to these resources⁷. In our study, we investigate transportation used by people living with HIV in rural areas and the role of community-based and healthcare organizations in facilitating this travel.

Literature Review

Lack of transportation limits access to health-enhancing resources such as employment, healthy food, and healthcare⁷. In rural areas where people depend on automobile ownership because of limited alternatives^{4,18,19}, transportation barriers can be exacerbated for those who cannot afford their own vehicle^{20,21}. Primarily due to long distances and associated increased costs, people living in rural areas have limited access to these resources^{19,22-26}.

Reliable and consistent transportation are important for people living with HIV to help them miss fewer doses of their antiretroviral medications⁸⁻¹⁰. Past studies show that people living with HIV in rural areas face greater transportation barriers than their urban counterparts^{9,27,28}. High poverty, age, and disability rates compounded with rural transportation barriers create increased challenges for people living with HIV in rural areas^{9,27,29,30}.

In current HCI research, there is an emphasis on assessing transportation in urban contexts. Past studies have examined technology designed to inform users of available transportation,³¹⁻³⁶ ridesharing services³⁷⁻³⁹, and interpersonal trust⁴⁰⁻⁴⁵. More recent studies have focused on technologies designed to help underserved populations overcome transportation barriers^{44,46}. It is important to consider how these past studies may apply to rural contexts. Research on transportation in rural areas in the past has focused on informing users of available transportation modes⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹.

Methods

This study took place in a rural state in the United States (US) Midwest. The region is primarily agricultural. Due to a past outbreak linked to the opioid epidemic in the US, there are increased numbers of people living with HIV in the area. 26 counties in the region are considered medically underserved for primary care, and residents may have limited internet access⁵⁰.

Recruitment occurred with collaboration from a HIV support center located in the study region. Surveys were distributed through the support center to people living with HIV, as well as an abridged version of the survey to support staff. The survey collected information regarding vehicle access, travel to work, grocery stores, healthcare, and questions about healthcare, disability and chronic conditions, access to technology, and demographics. Survey respondents were asked if they would follow-up with a phone interview.

Phone interviews were conducted with people living with HIV and their case coordinators. Participants were asked about their transportation practices, challenges faced, and the applicability of urban-based transportation models to their local contexts. In total, 22 surveys and 14 interviews were conducted with people living with HIV, and 9 surveys and 4 interviews were conducted with their case coordinators.

Findings

The majority of participants living with HIV were male, white, and living on less than \$2,000 per month. Just under two-thirds of the participants had a car. The participating case coordinators were majority female, white, and employed full time.

Driving a personal vehicle was the most common form of transportation. When not available, participants went through a process of identifying other transportation opportunities. First, personal means were examined. Could the participant drive his or herself, or was he or she familiar with public transportation (when available)? Next, the participant may ask for personal favors from friends or family. Last, participants resorted to asking their case coordinators for help or utilized insurance-provided paratransit services.

Case coordinators gave rides to healthcare appointments, acting as a "last line of defense" for their clients. They also arranged financial assistance for travel to healthcare appointments and problem-solved with clients about their transportation needs. Though there was general interest in other community-based organizations helping with transportation, no participant used transportation services from them.

Facilitators of transportation included car ownership, having a support network, medical insurance, light reciprocity, and confidential modes of transportation. Barriers included lack of infrastructure and safety, physical health and disabilities, lack of independence, temporal matching, coordination breakdowns, lack of knowledge of available transportation services, spatial matching, stigma and cultural boundaries, lack of interpersonal trust, and affordability.

Discussion

Though not all participants owned cars, those that did relied on their personal vehicles. This aligns with prior research emphasizing the importance of personal vehicles because of a lack of public and private transportation in rural areas, especially for vulnerable populations living with disabilities^{4,18,51,52}. Lack of car ownership, infrastructural challenges, long distances, physical health, scheduling mismatches, and affordability all compound to greater transportation barriers. People living with HIV in rural areas have "to weave together" different transportation options⁹. Our findings extend prior research that emphasizes the importance of social networks in rural contexts^{1,53,54}. Compared to past urban studies, there is a greater concern about independence in rural areas⁵⁵. There was also a lack of awareness of what transportation modes were available.

Our findings, along with past research, point towards a technological design that delivers contextualized information for users. Public transportation is not always available, and ridersharing may not be a good fit for rural populations because of concerns of trust and availability. A sociotechnical application could help coordinate favors between users, such as the model proposed by Dillahunt & Veinot (2018)⁷ does. Application interfaces between rider, family and friends, and case coordinator would allow users to see available transportation modes from their community as well as their social network.

Conclusion

Our study examined the facilitators and barriers of transportation experienced by people living with HIV in rural areas. By collaborating with and understanding the needs of vulnerable populations, future sociotechnical systems can prove to be promising in both rural and urban contexts.

References

- [1] Hardy J, Wyche S, Veinot T. Rural HCI research: Definitions, distinctions, methods, and opportunities. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*. 2019;3(CSCW):1–33.
- [2] Smith A. Shared, collaborative and on demand: The new digital economy. Pew Research Center. 2016;19.
- [3] Mattson J, et al. Rural transit fact book 2017. Western Transportation Institute; 2017.
- [4] Shergold I, Parkhurst G, Musselwhite C. Rural car dependence: an emerging barrier to community activity for older people. *Transportation Planning and Technology*. 2012;35(1):69–85.
- [5] Lichter DT, Brown DL. Rural America in an urban society: Changing spatial and social boundaries. *Annual review of sociology*. 2011;37:565–592.
- [6] Partridge MD, Rickman DS. The geography of American poverty: Is there a need for place-based policies? WE Upjohn Institute; 2006.
- [7] Dillahunt TR, Veinot TC. Getting there: Barriers and facilitators to transportation access in underserved communities. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*. 2018;25(5):1–39.
- [8] Reif S, Golin C, Smith S. Barriers to accessing HIV/AIDS care in North Carolina: Rural and urban differences. *AIDS care*. 2005;17(5):558–565.
- [9] Sagrestano LM, Clay J, Finerman R, Gooch J, Rapino M. Transportation vulnerability as a barrier to service utilization for HIV-positive individuals. *Aids Care*. 2014;26(3):314–319.
- [10] Tesoriero JM, Johnson BL, Hart-Malloy R, Cukrovany JL, Moncur BL, Bogucki KM, et al. Improving retention in HIV care through New York’s expanded partner services data-to-care pilot. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*. 2017;23(3):255.
- [11] Maestre JF, Zdziarska P, Min A, Baglione AN, Chung CF, Shih PC. Not Another Medication Adherence App: Critical Reflections on Addressing Public HIV-related Stigma Through Design. In: *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, CSCW*. ACM; 2020. .
- [12] Maestre JF, Kresnye KC, Dunbar JC, Connelly CL, Siek KA, Shih PC. Conducting HCI Research with People Living with HIV Remotely: Lessons Learned and Best Practices. In: *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2020. p. 1–8.
- [13] Walker AM, DeVito MA, Maestre JF, Siek KA, Kresnye C, Jelen B, et al. ARC: Moving the Method Forward. In: *Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2019. p. 1–4.
- [14] Maestre JF, Herring SC, Min A, Connelly CL, Shih PC. Where and How to Look for Help Matters: Analysis of Support Exchange in Online Health Communities for People Living with HIV. *Information*. 2018;9(10):259.
- [15] Gao Z, Shih PC. Communities of support: social support exchange in a HIV online forum. In: *Proceedings of the Seventh International Symposium of Chinese CHI*; 2019. p. 37–43.
- [16] Maestre JF, MacLeod H, Connelly CL, Dunbar JC, Beck J, Siek KA, et al. Defining through expansion: conducting asynchronous remote communities (arc) research with stigmatized groups. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM; 2018. p. 557.
- [17] Maestre JF, Eikley EV, Warner M, Yarosh S, Pater J, Jacobs M, et al. Conducting Research with Stigmatized Populations: Practices, Challenges, and Lessons Learned. In: *Companion of the 2018 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*. ACM; 2018. p. 385–392.
- [18] Iezzoni LI, Killeen MB, O’Day BL. Rural residents with disabilities confront substantial barriers to obtaining primary care. *Health services research*. 2006;41(4p1):1258–1275.

- [19] Stommes ES, Brown DM. Transportation in rural America: Issues for the 21st century. *Rural America/Rural Development Perspectives*. 2002;16(2221-2019-2484):2–10.
- [20] Pothukuchi K, Wallace R. Sustainable food systems: Perspectives on transportation policy. 2009;.
- [21] Reif SS, DesHarnais S, Bernard S. Rural health research community perceptions of the effects of rural hospital closure on access to care. *The Journal of Rural Health*. 1999;15(2):202–209.
- [22] Clifton KJ. Mobility strategies and food shopping for low-income families: A case study. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 2004;23(4):402–413.
- [23] Sharkey JR. Measuring potential access to food stores and food-service places in rural areas in the US. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2009;36(4):S151–S155.
- [24] Smith ML, Prohaska TR, MacLeod KE, Ory MG, Eisenstein AR, Ragland DR, et al. Non-emergency medical transportation needs of middle-aged and older adults: A rural-urban comparison in Delaware, USA. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2017;14(2):174.
- [25] Fletcher CN, Garasky SB, Jensen HH, Nielsen RB. Transportation access: A key employment barrier for rural low-income families. *Journal of Poverty*. 2010;14(2):123–144.
- [26] Lichtenwalter S, Koeske G, Sales E. Examining transportation and employment outcomes: Evidence for moving beyond the bus pass. *Journal of Poverty*. 2006;10(1):93–115.
- [27] Moneyham L, McLeod J, Boehme A, Wright L, Mugavero M, Seal P, et al. Perceived barriers to HIV care among HIV-infected women in the Deep South. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*. 2010;21(6):467–477.
- [28] Pellowski JA. Barriers to care for rural people living with HIV: a review of domestic research and health care models. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*. 2013;24(5):422–437.
- [29] Pellowski JA, Kalichman SC, Matthews KA, Adler N. A pandemic of the poor: Social disadvantage and the US HIV epidemic. *American Psychologist*. 2013;68(4):197.
- [30] HIV and older Americans; 2018. Accessed: 2020-09-15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- [31] Baños TY, Aquino E, Sernas FD, López YR, Mendoza RC. EMI: A system to improve and promote the use of public transportation. In: *CHI'07 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2007. p. 2037–2042.
- [32] Collins C, Grude A, Scholl M, Thompson R. txt bus: wait time information on demand. In: *CHI'07 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2007. p. 2049–2054.
- [33] Ferris B, Watkins K, Borning A. OneBusAway: results from providing real-time arrival information for public transit. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2010. p. 1807–1816.
- [34] Foell S, Kortuem G, Rawassizadeh R, Handte M, Iqbal U, Marrón P. Micro-navigation for urban bus passengers: using the Internet of Things to improve the public transport experience. *arXiv preprint arXiv:14126605*. 2014;.
- [35] Lin YT, Su HC, Lo IW, Chou PL. BringUBus: Matching Buses to Passengers with Lower Mobility. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2016. p. 44–49.
- [36] Zimmerman J, Tomasic A, Garrod C, Yoo D, Hiruncharoenvate C, Aziz R, et al. Field trial of tiramisu: crowdsourcing bus arrival times to spur co-design. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2011. p. 1677–1686.

- [37] Cici B, Markopoulou A, Frias-Martinez E, Laoutaris N. Assessing the potential of ride-sharing using mobile and social data: a tale of four cities. In: Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing; 2014. p. 201–211.
- [38] Coltin BJ, Veloso M. Towards ridesharing with passenger transfers. In: Proceedings of the 2013 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems; 2013. p. 1299–1300.
- [39] Zhang D, He T, Zhang F, Lu M, Liu Y, Lee H, et al. Carpooling service for large-scale taxicab networks. *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks (TOSN)*. 2016;12(3):1–35.
- [40] Brereton M, Roe P, Foth M, Bunker JM, Buys L. Designing participation in agile ridesharing with mobile social software. In: Proceedings of the 21st Annual Conference of the Australian Computer-Human Interaction Special Interest Group: Design: Open 24/7; 2009. p. 257–260.
- [41] Créno L, Cahour B. Chronicles of Lived Experiences for studying the process of trust building in carpooling. In: Proceedings of the 2014 European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics; 2014. p. 1–8.
- [42] Dillahunt TR, Malone AR. The promise of the sharing economy among disadvantaged communities. In: Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; 2015. p. 2285–2294.
- [43] Svangren MK, Skov MB, Kjeldskov J. Passenger trip planning using ride-sharing services. In: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; 2018. p. 1–12.
- [44] Dillahunt TR, Kameswaran V, Li L, Rosenblat T. Uncovering the values and constraints of real-time ridesharing for low-resource populations. In: Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; 2017. p. 2757–2769.
- [45] Tahmasseby S, Kattan L, Barbour B. Propensity to participate in a peer-to-peer social-network-based carpooling system. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*. 2016;50(2):240–254.
- [46] Dillahunt TR, Simioni S, Xu X. Online Grocery Delivery Services: An Opportunity to Address Food Disparities in Transportation-scarce Areas. In: Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; 2019. p. 1–15.
- [47] Papangelis K, Sripada S, Corsar D, Velaga N, Edwards P, Nelson JD. Developing a real time passenger information system for rural areas. In: International Conference on Human Interface and the Management of Information. Springer; 2013. p. 153–162.
- [48] Siira E, Heinonen S. Enabling mobility for the elderly: design and Implementation of Assistant navigation service. In: Proceedings of 14th International Conference on Mobility and Transport for Elderly and Disabled Persons; 2015. .
- [49] Stein M, Meurer J, Boden A, Wulf V. Mobility in later life: Appropriation of an integrated transportation platform. In: CHI 2017; 2017. p. 5716–5729.
- [50] Fixed broadband deployment; 2020. Accessed: 2020-09-15. <https://broadbandmap.fcc.gov//>.
- [51] Buzza C, Ono SS, Turvey C, Wittrock S, Noble M, Reddy G, et al. Distance is relative: unpacking a principal barrier in rural healthcare. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2011;26(2):648.
- [52] McDaniels BW, Harley DA, Beach DT. Transportation, accessibility, and accommodation in rural communities. In: Disability and vocational rehabilitation in rural settings. Springer; 2018. p. 43–57.
- [53] Fischer CS. *To dwell among friends: Personal networks in town and city*. University of Chicago Press; 1982.
- [54] White KJC, Guest AM. Community lost or transformed? Urbanization and social ties. *City & Community*. 2003;2(3):239–259.
- [55] Fleming AR, Ysasi NA, Harley DA, Bishop ML. Resilience and strengths of rural communities. In: Disability and Vocational Rehabilitation in Rural Settings. Springer; 2018. p. 117–136.